



# PROPOSED RULE MAKING

**CR-102 (June 2004)**

(Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

**Agency:** Department of Labor and Industries

- ☒ **Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 08-03-107; or**  
☐ **Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR \_\_\_\_\_; or**  
☐ **Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).**

- ☒ **Original Notice**  
☐ **Supplemental Notice to WSR \_\_\_\_\_**  
☐ **Continuance of WSR \_\_\_\_\_**

**Title of rule and other identifying information:** Chapter 296-150M WAC, Manufactured homes

Hearing location(s):  
 Date: May 7, 2008 Time: 9:00 am  
 Department of Labor and Industries  
 7273 Linderson Way SW, S129  
 Tumwater, Washington

Date: May 8, 2008 Time: 10:00 am  
 Department of Labor and Industries  
 15 W Yakima Ave, Suite 100  
 Yakima, Washington

**Date of intended adoption:** May 20, 2008  
 (Note: This is **NOT** the effective date)

**Submit written comments to:**

Name: Sally Elliott  
 Department of Labor and Industries  
 Address: Post Office Box 44400  
 Olympia, Washington 98504-4400  
 e-mail yous235@lni.wa.gov  
 fax (360) 902-5292 by May 8, 2008

**Assistance for persons with disabilities:** Contact

Sally Elliott by April 15, 2008  
 (360) 902-6411 or yous235@lni.wa.gov

**Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:** The purpose of this rulemaking is to amend the manufactured home rules to make sure they are consistent with the statute. The amendment which amends "notice of infraction" to "notice of correction". This will correct the terminology in the rule for consistency between the statute and rules. The Factory Assembled Structure program has already filed an expedited rulemaking package to correct terminology in WAC 296-150M-0815.

The rulemaking will also repeal sections of chapter 296-150M WAC that will be moved into the new mobile and manufactured home installation program, chapter 296-150I WAC. Additional housekeeping and clarifying changes will be made throughout the rule.

**Reasons supporting proposal:** See purpose statement.

**Statutory authority for adoption:** Chapter 43.22 RCW

**Statute being implemented:** Chapter 43.22 RCW

**Is rule necessary because of a:**

- Federal Law? ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 Federal Court Decision? ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 State Court Decision? ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If yes, CITATION:

**DATE**  
 April 1, 2008

**NAME** (type or print)  
 Judy Schurke

**SIGNATURE**

*Judy Schurke*

**TITLE**  
 Director

**CODE REVISER USE ONLY**

**OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER  
 STATE OF WASHINGTON  
 FILED**

**DATE: April 01, 2008  
 TIME: 5:46 PM**

**WSR 08-08-096**

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

**Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:**

None.

**Name of proponent:** (person or organization) Department of Labor and Industries

☐ Private  
☐ Public  
☒ Governmental

**Name of agency personnel responsible for:**

Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting..... Pete Schmidt	Tumwater	(360) 902-5571
Implementation.... Patrick Woods	Tumwater	(360) 902-6348
Enforcement..... Patrick Woods	Tumwater	(360) 902-6348

**Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?**

☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement.

A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

phone ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

fax ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

e-mail \_\_\_\_\_

☒ No. Explain why no statement was prepared.

The department determined the proposed rules do not require a small business economic impact statement because the costs associated with the proposed changes are exempted by law since the proposed changes are updating the rule based upon Washington State statutes and clarifying the rule for ease of use and understanding [see RCW 34.05.310(4)(c) and (d)].

**Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?**

☐ Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

phone ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

fax ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

e-mail \_\_\_\_\_

☒ No: Please explain:

The department determined the proposed changes do not require a cost-benefit analysis because the costs associated with the proposed changes are exempted by law since the proposed changes are updating the rule based upon Washington State statutes and clarifying the rule for ease of use and understanding [see RCW 19.85.025 referencing RCW 34.05.328(5)(b)(iii) and (iv)].